

Application Serial No. 10/687,888
Filed October 17, 2003
Request for Status dated March 19, 2007
Attorney Docket No. KPF-30CD1

REQUEST FOR STATUS OF CORRECTED FILING RECEIPT

In an Office Action dated May 15, 2006 (copy enclosed), it was stated that the above-identified patent application was to be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination for issuance of a corrected filing receipt (see page 2, item no. 2 of the Office Action). However, no communication from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office has been received regarding this matter. Please provide information related to the status of the corrected filing receipt in this case.

No fee is believed due as a result of this Request. Any deficiencies or credits necessary to complete this communication should be applied to Deposit Account No. 23-3000.

Respectfully submitted,
Wood, Herron & Evans, L.L.P.

By: /David E. Jefferies/
David E. Jefferies, Reg. No. 46,800



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1459
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1459
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,888	10/17/2003	Daniel Keith Amonett	KPF / 30CD1	9793
26875	7590	05/15/2006	EXAMINER	
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP 2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202			TAMAI, KARL I	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	

DATE MAILED: 05/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/687,888

Applicant(s)

AMONETT ET AL.

Examiner

Tamai I.E. Karl

Art Unit

2834

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 October 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) *
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments in the Appeal Brief are persuasive regarding Muller and Schwartz. The finality of that action is withdrawn.

Inventorship

2. In view of the papers filed 3/29/2005, the inventorship in this nonprovisional application has been changed by the deletion of Robert G. Sokalski. The application will be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) for issuance of a corrected filing receipt, and correction of Office records to reflect the inventorship as corrected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claim 1, 2, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Watanabe et al. (Watanabe)(US 4297838). Watanabe figure 1 shows a timing motor with a rotor inside the stator plate 5 with a rotor orifice and a plurality of stator poles, where the stator plate has gear trains 3 above and below the stator plate. Watanabe teaches only one connection of the gear train through the stator plate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al. (Watanabe)(US 4297838) and Muller et al. (Muller)(4426158) and Horbach (US 3215964). Watanabe teaches every aspect of the invention except a buss bar in the timer, a coil wound on a rectangular bobbin with square wire terminals. Muller teaches a timing motor with buss bars 308-314. Horbach teaches a bobbin with square terminals for the magnetic wire on the bobbin to provide inexpensive and fast assembly of the electric coil with other components. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the motor of Watanabe

with the square wire terminals of Horbach to provide inexpensive and fast assembly of devices required electric coils on bobbins, and with the buss bars of Muller to provide mass production of the electrical connections in the timer.

8. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al. (Watanabe)(US 4297838), in further view of Plancon et al. (Plancon)(US 4888507). Watanabe teaches every aspect of the invention except a steel post perpendicular to the stator plate. Plancon teaches a steel post in the rotor perpendicular to the stator to provide a single machined rotor, pinion 6, and bearings. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the motor of Watanabe with the steel post of Plancon to provide simplified production and strong steel bearings.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 10/18/2005 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl I.E. Tamai whose telephone number is (571) 272 - 2036.

Art Unit: 2834

The examiner can be normally contacted on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Darren Schuberg, can be reached at (571) 272 - 2044. The facsimile number for the Group is (703) 872 - 9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl I Tamai
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER
May 9, 2006

KARL TAMAI
PRIMARY EXAMINER



DARREN SCHUBERG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2834

Notice of References Cited

Application/Control No.

10/687,888

Applicant(s)/Patent Under
Reexamination
AMONETT ET AL.

Examiner

Tamai I.E. Karl

Art Unit

2834

Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	A	US-4,297,838	11-1981	Watanabe et al.	368/76
	B	US-			
	C	US-			
	D	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	H	US-			
	I	US-			
	J	US-			
	K	US-			
	L	US-			
	M	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	O					
	P					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	T					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	V	
	W	
	X	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(s).)
Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.